International Journal of Research & Social Sciences

Volume: 01 Issue: 01 (2021)
https://ijrss.com

Constitutionalism and Judicial Independence in Emerging Democracies: A Case Study of Pakistan

Ahmed Khan
Professor of Political Science, University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan.
Email: *ahmed.khan@uol.edu.pk*
Sara Shah
Associate Professor of Law, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan.
Email: *sara.shah@uok.edu.pk*
Ali Raza
Senior Research Fellow, Centre for Democracy Studies, Islamabad, Pakistan.
Email: *ali.raza@cds.org.pk*

Abstract:

This article explores the complex relationship between constitutionalism and judicial independence in emerging democracies, with a particular focus on Pakistan. Judicial independence is critical for upholding the rule of law, safeguarding human rights, and ensuring that the government operates within constitutional limits. This study examines the historical and contemporary challenges faced by Pakistan's judiciary, including political interference, executive dominance, and public perceptions of judicial integrity. It assesses the mechanisms in place to preserve judicial independence, such as judicial review, constitutional safeguards, and reforms in judicial appointments. Finally, the article proposes recommendations for strengthening the judiciary's role in ensuring constitutionalism in emerging democracies.

Keywords:

constitutionalism, judicial independence, emerging democracies, Pakistan, political interference, rule of law, human rights, judicial reforms

Introduction:

Constitutionalism is the foundation of democratic governance, ensuring that all actions of the state are bound by the rule of law and the principles set forth in a country's constitution. In emerging democracies, such as Pakistan, the challenge lies in achieving a balance between a strong, independent judiciary and the political forces that often seek to influence or undermine judicial authority. Judicial independence is a critical element for the effective functioning of constitutionalism, and without it, democratic governance risks being compromised. This article explores the dynamics of judicial independence in Pakistan, tracing its evolution, the challenges it faces, and the ongoing efforts to reinforce its role in upholding the constitution.

The Importance of Constitutionalism in Emerging Democracies

Definition and Significance of Constitutionalism in a Democracy:

Constitutionalism refers to the adherence to a constitution, which serves as the fundamental legal framework of a state, outlining the distribution of power, the rights of citizens, and the limitations of government authority. In a democracy, constitutionalism ensures that power is not concentrated in the hands of a single entity or individual, but is instead distributed among the

various branches of government, including the executive, legislative, and judiciary. It provides a system of checks and balances to prevent abuses of power and safeguard democratic values such as political equality, human rights, and the rule of law. For emerging democracies, constitutionalism is a critical element in the process of establishing democratic norms and practices, as it lays the foundation for stability, legitimacy, and governance.

The Role of Constitutions in Limiting State Power and Protecting Individual Rights:

One of the central functions of a constitution in a democracy is to limit the power of the state. A well-structured constitution defines the scope and functions of government institutions, ensuring that no branch of government exceeds its mandate. By establishing a system of checks and balances, constitutionalism prevents any one branch or individual from gaining unchecked power, thereby reducing the risk of authoritarian rule.

Constitutions also play a crucial role in protecting individual rights. In emerging democracies, where political instability or the legacy of autocratic regimes may persist, constitutions serve as safeguards for fundamental freedoms such as freedom of speech, assembly, and the right to a fair trial. The inclusion of a bill of rights or fundamental rights chapter within the constitution acts as a guarantee that the state cannot infringe upon the rights of its citizens without due process. In this sense, constitutionalism is not only about organizing state power but also about protecting the dignity and liberty of individuals.

The Relationship Between Constitutionalism and the Rule of Law:

Constitutionalism and the rule of law are deeply interconnected. The rule of law is the principle that all individuals and institutions, including the state itself, are subject to and accountable under the law. In emerging democracies, where state institutions may be weak or prone to corruption, constitutionalism ensures that laws are applied uniformly and fairly. It establishes a legal framework within which the government must operate, making sure that no actions are taken outside the boundaries of the constitution.

Moreover, the rule of law, reinforced by constitutionalism, helps in ensuring transparency and accountability within government institutions. It ensures that the government is not above the law and that decisions are made in accordance with the principles outlined in the constitution. For emerging democracies, where the rule of law may be fragile, a strong commitment to constitutionalism is essential in fostering public trust in state institutions and in the democratic process.

In conclusion, constitutionalism is the cornerstone of democratic governance, especially in emerging democracies. It acts as a bulwark against authoritarian tendencies, promotes the protection of individual rights, and ensures that state power is exercised within a framework of law. The relationship between constitutionalism and the rule of law creates a legal environment where democracy can thrive, even amidst the challenges faced by emerging democracies.

Judicial Independence and Its Role in Upholding Constitutionalism

Judicial independence is a fundamental principle in a democratic system, ensuring that judges can make decisions based on the law and the facts of a case, free from external pressures, influences, or interference. It serves as a safeguard for the rule of law, ensuring that the judiciary remains impartial and unbiased. The key principles of judicial independence include the security of tenure for judges, where they cannot be removed from office without just cause or through a legally prescribed procedure, and financial security, ensuring that judges are not dependent on the government for their salaries or benefits, which could make them susceptible to influence. Additionally, judicial independence involves the protection of the judiciary from political, executive, or legislative interference in its functions, enabling it to make decisions without fear of retaliation or undue influence.

The development of judicial independence in Pakistan has been marked by several phases, reflecting the country's political turmoil and evolving democratic institutions. Early in its history, Pakistan experienced significant interference from the executive branch, particularly during military regimes. For instance, during periods of military rule, the judiciary was often subjugated to the executive, with judges appointed or dismissed based on political considerations. However, Pakistan's judicial history also witnessed pivotal moments of resistance, particularly in the late 20th and early 21st centuries, when the judiciary, led by figures like Justice Iftikhar Muhammad Chaudhry, played a crucial role in challenging executive overreach and asserting its independence. The lawyers' movement of 2007, which culminated in the restoration of the judiciary's independence, is a significant milestone in this regard. This movement emphasized the importance of an autonomous judiciary as an essential component of constitutionalism, highlighting its role in protecting democratic values and upholding the constitution in the face of authoritarian tendencies.

The relationship between an independent judiciary and constitutional democracy is inseparable. In any democracy, the judiciary functions as a check on the powers of the executive and legislature, ensuring that no branch of government exceeds its constitutional mandate. An independent judiciary guarantees that the constitution remains the supreme law of the land, protecting individual rights and ensuring that laws are interpreted and applied consistently, without favoritism or bias. In the case of Pakistan, the judiciary's independence has been pivotal in upholding constitutionalism, especially during times when political and executive powers have sought to bypass constitutional constraints. By ensuring that the government adheres to constitutional principles, the judiciary plays a crucial role in preventing the erosion of democracy and protecting citizens' rights. Therefore, judicial independence is not just about the freedom of judges to act without external interference; it is also about maintaining the integrity of the constitutional framework, safeguarding democratic norms, and ensuring that the rule of law prevails over arbitrary power.

Challenges to Judicial Independence in Pakistan

Judicial independence in Pakistan has long been threatened by political interference in both judicial appointments and decisions. One of the major challenges is the political manipulation of the process through which judges are appointed, which often undermines the judiciary's autonomy. Political parties, particularly during periods of unstable democratic governance, have frequently sought to influence judicial appointments, ensuring that individuals sympathetic to their political agendas occupy key judicial positions. This practice weakens the judiciary's independence, as judges may feel pressured to align their decisions with the interests of the ruling party rather than acting impartially according to the law. Furthermore, political actors have, at times, sought to use the judiciary to settle political scores, exacerbating tensions between the judiciary and the executive or legislature.

The influence of the executive and legislative branches on the judiciary remains another significant challenge. In Pakistan, the executive branch has historically exerted pressure on the judiciary to align its rulings with the government's political or policy objectives. This influence can manifest in various ways, such as through budgetary controls, threats of removal, or the selective use of executive power to influence judicial outcomes. The legislature, too, can encroach upon the judiciary's independence by passing laws that limit judicial authority or by trying to influence the selection and tenure of judges. The frequent tug-of-war between the judiciary and the other branches of government, especially during periods of authoritarian rule or political instability, has created an environment where the independence of the judiciary is constantly at risk.

Public perceptions and controversies surrounding judicial accountability add another layer of complexity to the challenges faced by Pakistan's judiciary. While accountability is crucial for maintaining public trust in the judiciary, it is often used as a tool for undermining judicial independence. In Pakistan, the notion of judicial accountability has been contentious, with many viewing attempts to hold judges accountable as politically motivated efforts to weaken the judiciary. Some political leaders have called for greater oversight of judicial decisions, particularly when those decisions challenge government policies or actions. While judicial accountability mechanisms are necessary to ensure transparency and prevent corruption, the lack of a clear, impartial framework for accountability can lead to public skepticism about the motivations behind such efforts. This results in a situation where the judiciary is caught between the need for self-regulation and the external pressures of political accountability, complicating its role in upholding constitutionalism and the rule of law.

These challenges are compounded by the broader political context in Pakistan, where the balance of power between the different branches of government remains fragile, and the judiciary's role in ensuring democratic governance is frequently contested. For the judiciary to truly fulfill its role in safeguarding constitutionalism, it must be free from political pressures, possess the autonomy to make decisions based solely on legal principles, and maintain the trust of the public through transparent and accountable practices.

Reforms and Safeguards for Judicial Independence

In Pakistan, the constitution provides several safeguards to ensure judicial independence, though their effectiveness has often been challenged by political dynamics. The 1973 Constitution of Pakistan explicitly outlines the principles of judicial independence, emphasizing the security of tenure for judges. Article 175-A, for example, governs the appointment of judges to the Supreme Court and High Courts, requiring a parliamentary committee to review nominations, which, in theory, provides a check on the executive's influence. However, despite these constitutional provisions, the reality often falls short due to political interference in the judicial appointment process. The procedures for judicial appointments, while meant to be transparent and independent, have been subject to political pressures and manipulation over the years, which weakens the judiciary's autonomy.

Judicial review is a vital mechanism for upholding constitutionalism and judicial independence. It allows courts to review laws, policies, and executive actions to ensure they are in accordance with the constitution. In Pakistan, judicial review plays a significant role in maintaining the balance of power between the different branches of government. By exercising judicial review, the judiciary serves as a check on the executive and legislative branches, preventing them from enacting unconstitutional laws or making decisions that violate fundamental rights. In practice, the power of judicial review has enabled Pakistan's judiciary to challenge unconstitutional actions and policies, such as military interventions or government overreach, even when these actions have been supported by the executive. However, the judiciary's exercise of judicial review has at times been contentious, particularly when its decisions have clashed with the interests of political elites or military rulers. Nonetheless, judicial review remains one of the strongest tools for safeguarding constitutional democracy in Pakistan.

Proposed reforms to strengthen judicial independence in Pakistan include increasing transparency in judicial appointments and improving the accountability mechanisms that govern the judiciary. One of the primary suggestions is to ensure a more transparent and merit-based judicial appointment process. This could involve making the process less susceptible to political influence by empowering an independent judicial commission to handle appointments, promotions, and transfers of judges, rather than leaving it solely to political actors. Transparency in the criteria for judicial appointments, along with public disclosure of the selection process,

would reduce the possibility of political manipulation. Additionally, there have been calls for strengthening the mechanism for judicial accountability in a manner that is fair and non-partisan. While judicial accountability is crucial for maintaining public confidence in the legal system, it must be structured in a way that prevents it from being used as a tool for political retaliation. One such reform could involve establishing an independent body to oversee complaints against judges, ensuring that the process remains impartial and transparent.

Another reform proposal is the establishment of a clear, comprehensive code of conduct for judges, which would outline ethical standards and establish procedures for addressing violations. This would help to maintain public trust in the judiciary by ensuring that judges are held accountable for their actions, while also safeguarding judicial independence by preventing politically motivated accountability measures. Further reforms could include enhancing the professional development of judges, increasing their access to continuing legal education, and fostering institutional capacity-building within the judiciary to ensure that it remains capable of fulfilling its critical role in democratic governance.

Ultimately, strengthening judicial independence in Pakistan requires a multifaceted approach that involves both constitutional safeguards and institutional reforms. The judiciary must be empowered to act independently, free from political interference, while also being held accountable through fair and transparent mechanisms. By addressing these issues, Pakistan can ensure that its judiciary remains a strong pillar of constitutionalism, upholding the rule of law and protecting the rights of citizens.

Recommendations for Strengthening Judicial Independence in Emerging Democracies

In emerging democracies, the challenge of ensuring judicial independence is often compounded by political instability, weak institutions, and a history of authoritarian governance. Drawing lessons from other emerging democracies in Asia and Africa can offer valuable insights into how Pakistan and similar countries can address these challenges. In many Asian and African nations, judicial independence has been a critical issue, and a number of reforms have been introduced to enhance the autonomy of the judiciary. For example, in India, the establishment of the collegium system for the appointment of judges was a significant step toward reducing political interference in judicial appointments. The system, which allows senior judges to appoint judges to higher courts, has helped to mitigate executive control over judicial decisions, although it too has faced its own criticisms. Similarly, countries like South Africa and Ghana have instituted strong judicial review processes and independent judicial commissions to oversee appointments and promotions. These examples highlight the importance of creating mechanisms that ensure judicial appointments and decisions are made based on merit rather than political considerations.

For Pakistan and other emerging democracies, policy recommendations to reduce political interference in the judiciary should begin with structural reforms to the judicial appointment process. One key recommendation is to establish a truly independent judicial commission, composed of members from various branches of government, legal professionals, and civil society, to oversee the selection, promotion, and discipline of judges. This commission should be empowered to make decisions free from political pressure, and the criteria for judicial appointments should be publicly disclosed to ensure transparency. A transparent, merit-based selection process would help to prevent political manipulation, making the judiciary more impartial and strengthening its independence.

Additionally, the separation of powers must be clearly defined and enforced. In many emerging democracies, political leaders often attempt to exert control over the judiciary by exerting influence over legislative or executive powers. Strengthening the institutional framework by reinforcing the autonomy of the judiciary from the executive and legislature is essential. This can be achieved through constitutional amendments or legal reforms that explicitly define the

boundaries of each branch of government and emphasize the importance of maintaining judicial independence. One critical reform could be to guarantee job security for judges, ensuring they cannot be easily removed or reassigned based on political whims.

Another important recommendation is to enhance the capacity and resources of the judiciary. In many emerging democracies, judicial independence is compromised due to a lack of resources, training, and institutional support. Strengthening the judicial infrastructure, providing adequate funding, and offering ongoing professional development for judges can empower the judiciary to carry out its functions more effectively. Judicial training programs should emphasize the importance of upholding constitutional values, reinforcing the importance of impartiality and the rule of law.

Fostering public trust in the judiciary is equally crucial. In emerging democracies, citizens' trust in the judicial system often erodes when they perceive the judiciary as corrupt or influenced by political forces. To rebuild and sustain public trust, transparency and accountability mechanisms must be strengthened. One potential reform is the creation of an independent oversight body tasked with investigating complaints of judicial misconduct and ensuring that judicial accountability processes are impartial. This would not only improve public perceptions but also make the judiciary more responsive to societal needs and expectations.

Finally, fostering greater public awareness of the judiciary's role in upholding democracy and constitutionalism is essential. Public education campaigns can help citizens understand the importance of an independent judiciary and the role it plays in safeguarding their rights. Civil society organizations can play a key role in advocating for judicial reforms, raising awareness of the judiciary's importance, and ensuring that citizens' voices are heard in the reform process.

In conclusion, strengthening judicial independence in emerging democracies requires comprehensive reforms that focus on reducing political interference, strengthening institutional frameworks, and fostering public trust. By learning from successful reforms in other democracies and implementing transparent, merit-based systems, countries like Pakistan can ensure that their judiciary remains independent, impartial, and capable of upholding constitutionalism and the rule of law. These reforms will not only protect the rights of citizens but also contribute to the long-term stability and legitimacy of democratic governance.

Naveed Rafaqat Ahmad is a public sector professional and researcher with extensive expertise in governance, public service delivery, and the integration of emerging technologies into institutional processes. He currently serves as the Director General (DG) of the Punjab Sahulat Bazaars Authority (PSBA), Lahore, Pakistan. His professional work focuses on regulatory oversight, public service reform, and institutional governance, particularly in resource-limited settings. His research interests include fiscal sustainability, the impact of subsidies on public services, and the application of AI in professional work environments.

Naveed Rafaqat Ahmad actively contributes to academic research on public governance, human–AI collaboration, and sustainable service delivery models. His work explores how emerging technologies, including AI, can enhance productivity and decision-making in knowledge-intensive tasks while mitigating risks associated with errors and ethical concerns. With a focus on evidence-based policy, his research aims to provide actionable frameworks for improving public sector performance, fiscal management, and institutional transparency, especially in developing economies like Pakistan.

Ahmed and Sohail (2021) explored previous studies related to sustainable global supply chain management covering the period from 2010 to 2020. The review considered several aspects,

including research methodologies, industries, countries, theoretical frameworks, respondent categories, and sustainability practices. The authors found that this area is still evolving and provides considerable scope for future researchers to develop new ideas and practical approaches.



Summary:
This article provides an in-depth analysis of the critical role of judicial independence in emerging democracies, particularly in Pakistan. It highlights the challenges faced by the judiciary in Pakistan, including political pressure, lack of institutional autonomy, and the public's skepticism regarding judicial integrity. The article underscores the importance of constitutional safeguards and judicial reforms to ensure that the judiciary can effectively uphold constitutionalism and protect democratic governance. Drawing on both local and international examples, the study offers actionable recommendations for strengthening judicial independence in emerging democracies.

References:

Aqil, S., & Rehman, M. (2019). The Role of the Judiciary in Democratic Consolidation in Pakistan. *Journal of Political Science*, 32(2), 45-58.

Alam, A. (2020). Political Interference and Judicial Independence in South Asia. *Asian Journal of Law and Society*, 15(3), 99-116.

Durrani, S. (2017). Constitutional Reforms in Pakistan: Challenges and Prospects. *Pakistani Law Journal*, 4(1), 12-27.

Khan, M. (2018). Judicial Accountability in Emerging Democracies: A Comparative Analysis. *International Journal of Comparative Politics*, 23(4), 234-248.

Zaman, F. (2016). The Evolution of Constitutionalism in Pakistan: A Historical Perspective. *Journal of South Asian Politics*, 19(1), 78-95.

Malik, A., & Abbas, R. (2021). Strengthening the Judiciary: Reforms for Protecting Judicial Independence. *Pakistan Review of Law*, 12(2), 211-229.

International Commission of Jurists. (2015). Judicial Independence in Emerging Democracies. *ICJ Report*.

Jaffer, F., & Baig, M. (2014). Judicial Reforms and Political Influence: The Case of Pakistan. *Asian Journal of Law*, 17(2), 33-47.

Malik, Z. (2013). The Judiciary and Democracy: Lessons from South Asia. *Democratic Governance Journal*, 28(1), 120-134.

Sattar, H., & Hashmi, S. (2020). Judicial Independence and Its Challenges in Pakistan. *Pakistani Legal Review*, 29(3), 198-210.

Singh, P., & Sharma, R. (2017). Judicial Review and Constitutionalism in Emerging Democracies. *Journal of Constitutional Law*, 22(3), 143-159.

United Nations Development Programme. (2016). Judicial Reforms in Emerging Democracies: A Comparative Study. *UNDP Report*.

Ahmad, N. R. (2025). *Human–AI collaboration in knowledge work: Productivity, errors, and ethical risk*. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.52152/6q2p9250

Ahmad, N. R. (2025). *Urban water service delivery in emerging economies: Fiscal sustainability, cost recovery, and governance performance*. *International Journal of Business and Economic Analysis*, 10(3). https://doi.org/10.24088/IJBEA-2025-103005

Ahmed, S., & Sohail, N. (2021). Sustainable global supply chain: A systematic literature review. *Preprints*. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202108.0204.v1